



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/616,013		07/13/2000	Yoshihiro Ishikawa	15689.53	3923
22913	7590	08/26/2005		EXAMINER	
WORKMA			ORGAD, EDAN		
(F/K/A WO 60 EAST S		I NYDEGGER & SE EMPLE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1000 EAGL	E GATE	TOWER	2684		
SALT LAK	E CITY,	UT 84111		DATE MAN ED 00/06/200	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/616,013	ISHIKAWA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Edan Orgad	2684					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status			•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/15	<u>/05</u> .	V.					
	•	action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>11,24,25 and 29</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,12,13,26-28 and 30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-10 and 14-23</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the second in the second	on No ed in this National Stage					
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/21/05</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 12, 13, 26, 27, 28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yazaki et al (US 6,108,541) in view of Masahiro (EP 0 903 951).

Regarding claims 1 and 13, 28, Yazaki teaches a cell search control method by which a mobile station searches for a control channel transmitted by a base station to capture and receive the control channel, and determines which base station to communicate with or to be standby for, said cell search control method comprising: a measuring step of measuring a first receiving plurality of each of a plurality of currently captured control channels (col. 7, lines 9-33); an obtaining step of obtaining a second receiving quality from the first receiving qualities measured by said measuring steps and a control step of determining a degree of how frequent searches for new control channels are conducted in response to the second receiving quality obtained by said obtaining step (col. 4, line 50- col. 5, line 25 & col. 7, lines 9-33).

However, Yazaki fails to specifically disclose the control channel(s) is/are actual perch channel(s).

Art Unit: 2684

In related art, Masahiro teaches a cell search control method by which a mobile station searches for a perch channel transmitted by a base station to capture and receive the perch channel, and determines which base station to communicate with or to be standby (col. 3, lines 11-23).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use perch channel(s) to detect whether or not a base station(s) is/are close as taught by Masahiro in order to know which can be used as a primary base station inherently must detect the intensity of the perch channel(s) of the base station(s).

Regarding claims 12, 26 and 30, Yazaki teaches cell search control method by which a mobile station searches for a control channel transmitted by a base station to capture and receive the control channel, and determines which base station to communicate with or to be standby for, said cell search control method comprising: a detecting step of detecting a moving speed of the mobile station (col. 5, lines 26-39); and a controlling step of controlling a degree of how frequent searches for new control channels are conducted in response to the moving speed detected by said detecting step such that when the moving speed is high, the degree of how frequent searches for new control channels are conducted is high, whereas when the moving speed is low, the degree of how frequent searches for new control channels are conducted is high, whereas when the moving speed is low, the degree of how frequent searches for new control channels are conducted is low (col. 5, lines 26-39 & col. 12, line 59- col. 13-27).

However, Yazaki fails to specifically disclose the control channel(s) is/are actual perch channel(s).

In related art, Masahiro teaches a cell search control method by which a mobile station searches for a perch channel transmitted by a base station to capture and receive the perch

Art Unit: 2684

channel, and determines which base station to communicate with or to be standby (col. 3, lines 11-23).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use perch channel(s) to detect whether or not a base station(s) is/are close as taught by Masahiro in order to know which can be used as a primary base station inherently must detect the intensity of the perch channel(s) of the base station(s).

Regarding claim 27, Yazaki teaches the mobile station monitors paging itself by intermittent reception in a standby mode (col. 12, line 59- col. 13-27).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-10 & 14-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 2-10 & 14-23, please see reasons for allowance in office action dated 3/4/04.

Claims 11, 24, 25 and 29 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 11, 24 and 29, please see reasons for allowance in office action dated 3/4/04.

Art Unit: 2684

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edan Orgad whose telephone number is 571-272-7884. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM to 5:30PM with every other Friday off...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 571-272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDAN ORGAD PATENT EXAMINER/TELECOMM. Page 5

6.0. 8/16/c1